

General Assembly

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 30

January	Session.	2007
Januai v	36331011,	2007

*HJ00030JUD040307	040307^
-------------------	---------

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE.

Resolved by this Assembly:

- 1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
- 2 number 20635 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the
- 3 claim against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred
- 4 dollars of Maribel Alicea, is confirmed.
- 5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 6 20091 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 7 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Donald P.
- 8 Brown, is confirmed.
- 9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 10 20064 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 11 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Calvin
- 12 Byrd, is confirmed.
- 13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 14 19991 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 15 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Karen
- 16 Cupe, is confirmed.

- 17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 18 20270 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 19 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Gregory
- 20 DeLoach, is confirmed.
- Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 22 20040 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 23 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Curtiss
- Ebron, is confirmed.
- Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 26 20621 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 27 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Brian
- 28 David Flores, is confirmed.
- Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 30 20136 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 31 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Marc
- 32 Hall, is confirmed.
- 33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 34 20445 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 35 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Marc
- 36 Hall, is confirmed.
- 37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 38 20284 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 39 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Phillip
- 40 Hightower, is confirmed.
- 41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 42 20746 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 43 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of John J.
- 44 Malarney, is confirmed.
- 45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 46 20122 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against

- 47 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Larry D.
- 48 McNeil, Jr., is confirmed.
- 49 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 50 20695 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 51 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of the town
- 52 of Newington and the Connecticut Interlocal Risk Management
- 53 Agency, is confirmed.
- Sec. 14. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 55 20084 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 56 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Valdimar
- 57 Quieroga, is confirmed.
- Sec. 15. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 59 20241 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 60 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Eugene
- Relerford, is confirmed.
- 62 Sec. 16. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file numbers
- 63 20608, 20609, 20610 and 20611 of said commissioner, ordering the
- dismissal of the claims against the state in excess of seven thousand
- 65 five hundred dollars of Joseph Gartland, Kathleen Gartland, Colleen
- 66 Ruiz and Steve Ruiz, is confirmed.
- 67 Sec. 17. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 68 20151 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 69 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David E.
- 70 Scranton, is confirmed.
- 71 Sec. 18. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 72 19997 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 73 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Alberto
- 74 Soto, is confirmed.
- 75 Sec. 19. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 76 20085 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against

- 77 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Scott D.
- 78 Swain, is confirmed.
- 79 Sec. 20. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 80 20032 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 81 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Sala-Thiel
- 82 Thompson, is confirmed.
- 83 Sec. 21. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 84 20297 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
- 85 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jerome
- 86 Thorpe, is confirmed.
- 87 Sec. 22. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 88 20678 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 89 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Mayer
- 90 Weber, is confirmed.
- 91 Sec. 23. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
- 92 20228 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
- 93 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David
- 94 Wise, is confirmed.

JUD Joint Favorable Subst.